

#### From:

# **OECD Science, Technology and Industry Outlook** 2012

### Access the complete publication at:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/sti\_outlook-2012-en

## Synthetic table

### Please cite this chapter as:

OECD (2012), "Synthetic table", in *OECD Science, Technology and Industry Outlook 2012*, OECD Publishing. http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/sti\_outlook-2012-33-en





### **Synthetic table**

Table 10.1. **Comparative performance of national science and innovation systems, 2011**Country relative position: in the top 5 OECD (★), in the middle range above OECD median (●●),
in the middle range below OECD median (●) and in the bottom 5 OECD (O)

	Competences and capacity to innovate										
	-	Science base	1		Business R&D	and innovation	Е	ntrepreneurshi	p		
	Public R&D expenditure (per GDP)	Top 500 universities (per GDP)	Publications in the top-quartile journals (per GDP)	Business R&D expenditure (per GDP)	Top 500 corporate R&D investors (per GDP)	Triadic patent families (per GDP)	Trademarks (per GDP)	Venture capital (per GDP)	Patenting firms less than 5 years old (per GDP)	Ease of entrepreneur- ship index	
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	
Argentina	•	•		0	0	0	•				
Australia	••	••	••	••	••	•	••	••		••	
Austria	••	*	••	••	0	••	••	••	••	••	
Belgium	•	••	••	••	••	••	•	••	•	•	
Brazil	•	•	0	•	•	•	0			•	
Canada	••	••	••	•	•	••	*	•	•	••	
Chile	0	•	0	0	0	0	•			•	
China	•	•	0	••	•	•	0		0	0	
Colombia	0	0		0	0	0					
Czech Republic	•	•	•	•	Ö	•	0	•	0	•	
Denmark	*	••	*	••	*	••	••	••	*	••	
Egypt		•			Ô	0					
Estonia	••	0	••	•	Ö	•	•	•		•	
Finland	*	*	••	*	••	*	•	••	*	•	
France	••	•	•	••	••	••	••	••	•	••	
Germany	••	••	•	••	••	*	••	•	••	•	
Greece	0	•	•	0	О	•	0	•		•	
Hungary	0	•	•	•	Ö	•	0	0		•	
Iceland	*	0	*	••	Ö	•	*			0	
India	•	0	0	0	•	•	0		0	0	
Indonesia	0	0	Ö	0	0	0	0				
Ireland	•	••	••	••	*	••	••	*	••	••	
Israel*	••	*	*	*	••	••	••	*		0	
Italy	•	••	•	•	•	•	•	Ö	•	*	
Japan	•	•	•	*	*	*	•		0	•	
Korea	••	•	•	*	••	••	•	•	9	••	
Luxembourg	•	0	0	••	*	••	*	0		•	
Mexico	0	0	0	0	Ô	0	•	9	0	0	
Netherlands	*	••	••	•	••	••	••	••	*	*	
New Zealand	••	*	••	•	0	•	*		^		
Norway	••	••	••	•	••	•		••	*	••	
Poland	•	•	•	0	0	0	0	0	^	0	
Portugal	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		••	
Russian Federation	•	•	0	•	•	0	0			•	
Slovak Republic	0	0	0	0	0	0	•		0	•	
Slovenia	•	••	••	••	0	•	••	0	9	*	
South Africa	0	•	0	•	0	•	•	,		Ô	
Spain	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	0	••	
Sweden	*	*	*	*	••	*	••	*	*	*	
Switzerland	••	••	*	••	*	*	*	*	•	••	
Turkey	•	•	0	•	0	0	, x	^	<b>-</b>	0	
United Kingdom		••	••		••	••	••	••	••	*	
•	••	•	•	••	••	••	••	*	••	••	
United States	•	•	•	•	••	•	••	*	••	••	

Table 10.1. **Comparative performance of national science and innovation systems, 2011** (cont.)

Country relative position: in the top 5 OECD (★), in the middle range above OECD median (●●),

in the middle range below OECD median (●) and in the bottom 5 OECD (○)

		Interactions and human resources for innovation										
	-	Internet for innovation				ledge flows a	nd commercial	isation	Human resources			
	Fixed broadband suscribers (per population)	Wireless broadband suscribers (per population)	systems) (per	E-government readiness index	Industry- financed public R&D expenditures (per GDP)		International co-authorship (%)	International	Adult population at tertiary education level (%)	15-year-old top performers in science (%)	Doctoral graduation rate in	S&T occupations in total employment (%)
	(k)	(1)	(m)	(n)	(0)	(p)	(p)	(r)	(s)	(t)	(u)	(v)
Argentina	0		0	0	0		•	*	0	0		0
Australia	•	••	••	••	••	••	•	•	••	*	••	••
Austria	•	•	••	•	•	••	*	••	•	•	••	••
Belgium	••	0	•	•	••	••	*	*	••	••	•	••
Brazil	0	•	0	0		•	0	•	0	0	0	0
Canada	••	•	••	••	••	••	•	••	*	••	••	•
Chile	0	0	0	•	0		••	••	•	0	0	•
China	0	9	0	0	••	•	0	0	0	9	9	0
		0	0	•			••	••	9	_		0
Colombia	0	0					•		0	0	••	••
Czech Republic	•	••	*	0	•	•	••	••	••	••		
Denmark	*	••		*	•	*		•	••	•	••	*
Egypt	0	•	0	0			•	••				•
Estonia	•	•	•	•	•		••	••	••	••	•	•
Finland	••	*	••	••	*	•	••	•	••	*	*	••
France	••	•	•	••	•	*	•	•	•	••	••	••
Germany	••	•	•	••	*	••	•	•	•	*	*	••
Greece	•	•	•	•	•	0	•	••	•	0	•	•
Hungary	•	0	•	•	••	•	••	••	•	•	•	•
Iceland	••	••	*	•	*	•	*	*	•	•	0	*
India	0		0	0		•	0	••				0
Indonesia	Ö		Ö	0			*	*	0	0		0
Ireland	•	••	•	•	•	*	••	••	••	••	••	••
Israel	•	•	••	••	••	÷	•	•	*	0	••	•
	•	•	•	•	0	ê	•		Ô	•	•	•
Italy	••			••	•	••		0			•	
Japan		*	0				0	0	*	*		0
Korea	*	*	•	*	••	*	0	0			•	0
Luxembourg	••	••	*	••	0	0	*	*	••	•		*
Mexico	0	0	0	0	0	•	•	••	0	0	0	0
Netherlands	*	•	••	*	*	••	••	•	•	••	•	••
New Zealand	••	••	••	••	••	•	••	•	*	*	••	•
Norway	*	*	••	••	••	•	••	•	••	•	•	••
Poland	0	••	••	0	•	•	0	••	•	•	0	•
Portugal	•	••	0	•	0	•	••	••	0	•	••	0
Russian Federation	0		•	•	••	0	0	•	*	•		••
Slovak Republic	0	•	•	0	•		••	*	•	•	••	•
Slovenia	•	•	*	•	*	•	•	•	•	••	••	••
South Africa	0		Ô	0	•	•	•	•	0			0
Spain	•	•	•	•	••	••	•	•	•	•	•	•
Sweden	••	*	••	••	••	0	••	•	••	•	*	*
		••		••	••	••			••	••		
Switzerland	*		*				*	*			*	*
Turkey	0	0	0	0	••	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
United Kingdom	••	••	•	*	•	••	•	••	••	••	*	•
United States	••	••	••	*	•	••	0	0	*	••	•	••

Note: Non-OECD countries are also compared to OECD countries and may therefore be out of range (e.g. lower than the lowest OECD country). They appear in this table with top five and bottom five OECD values.

Source: See reader's guide and methodological annex to the OECD Science, Technology and Industry Outlook 2012 country profiles.

<sup>\*</sup> Israel: "The statistical data for Israel are supplied by and under the responsibility of the relevant Israeli authorities. The use of such data by the OECD is without prejudice to the status of the Golan Heights, East Jerusalem and Israeli settlements in the West Bank under the terms of international law."